UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERTA LYNN McKAY	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 09-0010 SBA
v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.	STIPULATION AND PROSESS ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16.	(ADR L.R. 5) Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an in. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proc	ess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deareferring the case to an ADR production)	adline is 90 days from the date of the order cess unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline June 30, 2	
Dated: Dated: 3/20/09	Evan Martyndale Livingstone Attorney for Plaintiff John J. Fritsch
	Attorney for Defendant

Cascaste 94:09-000-01009\$BASBAD doornement 1319 Filled 005/23/2009Pageage 122 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

PROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
XEarly Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
X other 6/30/09

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/11/09

James B. Ormskag

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE